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Abstract: This paper presents the design, analysis, and performance evaluation of an attitude filter for an Intervention-Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (I-AUV) working in tandem with an Autonomous Surface Craft (ASC). In the proposed framework, an ASC aids an I-AUV to determine its attitude by providing an inertial reference direction vector through acoustic modem communications, which is measured in body-fixed coordinates by an Ultra-Short Baseline (USBL) device. The specificity of the intervention mission to be carried out, near structures that distort the Earth magnetic field, renders on-board magnetometers unusable for attitude determination. The solution presented herein includes the estimation of rate gyros biases, yielding globally asymptotically stable error dynamics under some mild restrictions on the vehicle team configurations. The feasibility and performance of the proposed architecture is assessed resorting to numerical simulations with realistic sensor noise.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Enabling Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) with the capability of performing precision-demanding underwater interventions involves the design and implementation of several key operational systems. Among other components, such as agile robotic actuators, thrusters, buoyancy control systems, etc., the design of precise navigation systems and sensor packages stands out as one of the most fundamental aspects, see Hayato and Ura [2004]. This paper presents a novel sensor-based approach to the design of globally asymptotically stable (GAS) attitude filters with application to a team of two autonomous vehicles commissioned on a typical survey and intervention task.

Intervention-AUVs (I-AUVs) — De Novi et al. [2009] — are a new class of autonomous robotic vehicles that are capable of going beyond typical survey-only tasks and successfully interact with underwater subjects to perform, for instance, collection of sediment samples, deep-water oil well repairs, deactivation of underwater mines, etc. without human interaction. When operating in open water scenarios, the attitude of the vehicle is typically estimated from the fusion of information from several sensors such as accelerometers, rate gyros, Doppler Velocity Loggers (DVL), acoustic positioning systems, and from flux-gate magnetometers or digital compasses. Typical targets, such as large metal structures, ship wrecks, metallic drills, and other Man-made objects, are known for having strong magnetic signatures which cause space anomalies and magnetic distortions (Wynn and Bono [2002]), thus rendering the onboard magnetometers unsuitable for heading determination, but largely adopted for the detection of buried objects (Kumar et al. [2005]) and underwater structures investigation, see Pei et al. [2010], Ioannidis [1977].

This paper addresses the design of an AUV attitude determination system that relies upon a team of two vehicles, comprising of an Autonomous Surface Craft (ASC) and an AUV in a tandem configuration, which cooperatively navigate the surroundings of a subject of interest. The proposed framework falls within the scope of the European project TRIDENT — Sanz et al. [2010] — in which both vehicles are able to exchange information through acoustic modem communications and, among other sensor packages, are also suited with Ultra-Short Baseline (USBL) acoustic positioning devices and acoustic pingers / transponders. The USBL is composed of a small calibrated array of acoustic receivers that measures very accurately the Direction-of-Arrival (DOA) of the acoustic waves, given the proximity of the sensors in the receiving array.

Conventional attitude estimation algorithms are typically derived on a particular representation, e.g. rotation matrix, quaternions, Euler angles, Euler angle-axis, etc., see Crassidis et al. [2007] for a recent thorough survey. The kinematic models, which are exact and resort to the integration of the angular velocity from three-axial rate gyros, are employed on the chosen attitude representation. These angular velocity sensors are however affected by unknown slowly time-varying biases. The adopted framework in the classical strategies is illustrated in Fig. 1, and naturally enforces the derived solutions to inherit the chosen attitude representation drawbacks, such as singularities, unwinding phenomena and/or topological limitations to achieve global asymptotic stabilization.

Solutions based on the Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) have been widely adopted in the literature, see Bar-Itzhack and Oshman [1985] and Shuster [1989] for instance. Traditional attitude filtering solutions typically convert first the available sensor vector information to an attitude representation and then filter the noisy attitude measurements. It is also well known that due to linearization of the nonlinear dynamics and observations models, EKF-like solutions are not able to achieve GAS properties. In...
Varying \( \text{LTV} \), the overall system is shown to be uniformly completely observable, under some mild restrictions on the relative positions of the work team vehicles. A Kalman filter design with GAS error dynamics follows from the obtained observability results, and an optimal attitude determination algorithm is applied to the filtered vector estimates to yield the final attitude estimate.

The solution proposed herein makes use of the framework presented in Batista et al. [2009] and Batista et al. [2011b], directly including the USBL direction vector measurements in the system dynamics, while the exact kinematics are propagated using the angular velocity provided by the three-axis rate gyro. The derived solution, illustrated in Fig. 2, includes the estimation of the rate gyros biases, and yields GAS error dynamics. Adopting a Lyapunov state transformation, that preserves observability properties of the original system and allows for the nonlinear dynamics to be regarded as Linear Time-Varying (LTV), the overall system is shown to be uniformly completely observable, under some mild restrictions on the relative positions of the work team vehicles. A Kalman filter design with GAS error dynamics follows from the obtained observability results, and an optimal attitude determination algorithm is applied to the filtered vector estimates to yield the final attitude estimate.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 sets the problem framework and definitions. The proposed filter design and main contributions of the paper are presented in Section 3, where the filter structure is brought to full detail, and an extensive observability analysis is carried out. Simulation results and performance evaluations are discussed in Section 4, and finally Section 5 provides some concluding remarks and comments on future work.

2. PROBLEM FRAMEWORK

In order to set the problem framework, let \( \{I\} \) denote a local inertial frame, \( \{B_{\text{ASC}}\} \) the coordinate frame attached to the body of the ASC, and \( \{B_{\text{AUV}}\} \) the coordinate frame attached to the body of the AUV. The relative positions of both body frames are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ASC} (s) : & \quad R_{\text{ASC}}^s(t) = R_{s}^P(t) (p_s(t) - p_u(t)), \\
\text{AUV} (u) : & \quad R_{\text{AUV}}^u(t) = R_{u}^P(t) (p_u(t) - p_s(t)),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( R_{\text{ASC}}^s(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) represents the position of the AUV in the ASC body-fixed frame, \( R_{\text{AUV}}^u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the position of the ASC represented in the AUV body-fixed reference frame, \( R_{s}(t) \in SO(3) \) and \( R_{u}(t) \in SO(3) \) are the rotation matrices that represent the attitude of the ASC and the AUV body-fixed reference frames respectively, with respect to the inertial frame \( \{I\} \). \( p_s(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( p_u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) are the positions in inertial coordinates of the origins of the body-fixed reference frames of the AUV and the ASC, respectively, and the operator \( (\cdot)^T \) denotes the usual matrix transpose operation. The time-derivative of the rotation matrix \( R_{\text{ASC}}(t) \in SO(3) \) verifies \( \dot{R}_{\text{ASC}}(t) = R_{\text{ASC}}(t)S[\omega(t)] \), where \( \omega(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the angular velocity of \( \{B_{\text{AUV}}\} \) with respect to \( \{I\} \), expressed in the AUV body-fixed coordinates, and \( S[\omega(t)] \) is the skew-symmetric matrix that represents the cross product, such that \( S[\omega]a = \omega \times a \) and verifies \( (S[\omega])^T = -S[\omega] \). Further assume that the angular velocity readings provided by the on-board rate gyros are corrupted by biases \( b_{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \)

\[
\omega_m(t) = \omega(t) + b_{\omega}(t),
\]

that are assumed to be constant, that is, \( b_{\omega}(t) = 0 \), or can be considered slowly time-variant if modelled as a random walk \( b_{\omega}(t) = \eta_{\omega} \), where \( \eta_{\omega} \) is white Gaussian noise.

2.1 USBL direction vector observation

In order to extract attitude information from the on-board sensors, the first vector observation is provided by the USBL as a DOA vector measurement of the acoustic waves emitted by the ASC. The USBL computes this DOA from the Time-Difference-Of-Arrival (TDOA) of the acoustic waves arriving at the hydrophones, specially placed at known locations on the receiving array (Vickery [1998]). As opposed to typical acoustic relative positioning systems, for attitude estimation purposes the USBL can be considered to provide measurements of the DOA at a higher rate. Either from bypassing the regular interrogation-reply scheme or transmitting a known specially coded signal at a higher rate, the system can increase the update rate of the USBL direction measurements. Previous work by the authors focused on the development of a USBL with pseudo-noise coded spread-spectrum signals can be found in Morgado et al. [2010].

Denoting the position of the ASC in the AUV coordinates simply by \( r_s(t) := R_{\text{AUV}} r_s(t) \), and differentiating (1) in time yields

\[
\dot{r}_s(t) = -S[\omega(t)] r_s(t) + v_s(t),
\]

where \( v_s(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the relative velocity between both vehicles, given by

\[
v_s(t) = R_{s}^P(t) \dot{r}_s(t) - v_s(t),
\]

where \( v_s(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the AUV velocity expressed in the AUV body-fixed reference frame, and \( \dot{r}_s(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the ASC velocity expressed in inertial coordinates, which satisfies \( \dot{r}_s(t) = \dot{r}_s(t) \).

The DOA of the acoustic waves being emitted by the ASC and arriving at the AUV USBL system is given by

\[
d_s(t) = \frac{r_s(t)}{r(t)},
\]

where \( r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the distance between the ASC and the AUV, i.e., \( r(t) = \|r_s(t)\| \). The direction vector \( d_s(t) \in S(2) \) is unitary by definition and is considered to be measured by the USBL device installed on-board the AUV.

Differentiating (5) in time yields

\[
\dot{d}_s(t) = \frac{\dot{r}_s(t)r(t) - r_s(t)\dot{r}(t)}{r(t)^2}.
\]

The time derivative of the range \( r(t) \) in (6) is simply given by

\[
\dot{r}(t) = \frac{1}{r(t)} \dot{r}(t) r_s(t).
\]
Taking into account that $\mathbf{d}_s \cdot (\mathbf{\omega} \times \mathbf{d}_s) = 0$ and substituting (3) and (7) in (6) yields
\[
\dot{\mathbf{d}}_s(t) = -S[\mathbf{\omega}(t)] \mathbf{d}_s(t) + \frac{\mathbf{v}_r(t)}{\rho(t)} - \mathbf{d}_s(t) \dot{\mathbf{d}}_s(t) \frac{\mathbf{v}_r(t)}{\rho(t)}.
\] (8)

Exploiting the cross-product multiplication property that asserts $S[a] S[a] = a a^T - |a|^2 I_3$, and the fact that $|\mathbf{d}_s(t)| = 1$ by definition, lets simplify (8) to
\[
\dot{\mathbf{d}}_s(t) = -S[\mathbf{\omega}(t)] \mathbf{d}_s(t) - S^T[\mathbf{d}_s(t)] \mathbf{u}(t),
\] (9)
where
\[
\mathbf{u}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{v}_r(t)}{\rho(t)}
\] (10)
is regarded as the system input.

2.2 Gravity reading vector observation

The second vector observation is drawn from the gravity vector present in the accelerometers readings. As carefully discussed in Mahony et al. [2008], for sufficient low frequency bandwidths, the gravitational field dominates the accelerometer readings in body-fixed coordinates. The gravity vector is a locally constant vector quantity in inertial coordinates, thus making it a feasible vector measurement for attitude estimation purposes. Thus, the gravity vector is represented in AUV body-fixed coordinates as $\mathbf{g}(t) = \mathbf{R}_w(t)^T \mathbf{g}$, where $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the gravity vector in inertial coordinates, and its time derivative is given by
\[
\dot{\mathbf{g}}(t) = -S[\mathbf{\omega}(t)] \mathbf{g}(t)
\] (11)

3. FILTER DESIGN

3.1 Combined dual vector observer

Combining (9) and (11) yields
\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{d}}_s(t) &= -S[\mathbf{\omega}(t)] \mathbf{d}_s(t) - S^T[\mathbf{d}_s(t)] \mathbf{u}(t) \\
\dot{\mathbf{g}}(t) &= -S[\mathbf{\omega}(t)] \mathbf{g}(t) \\
y_1(t) &= \mathbf{d}_s(t) \\
y_2(t) &= \mathbf{g}(t)
\end{aligned}
\] (12)
where $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$ are the outputs of the system: $y_1(t) = \mathbf{d}_s(t)$ is measured by the USBL device and $y_2(t) = \mathbf{g}(t)$ is obtained from the low-frequency content in the accelerometer readings.

Considering that the rate gyros readings (2) are corrupted by constant biases in (12) yields
\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{d}}_s(t) &= -S[\mathbf{\omega}_m(t)] \mathbf{d}_s(t) - S^T[\mathbf{d}_s(t)] \mathbf{u}(t) \\
\dot{\mathbf{g}}(t) &= -S[\mathbf{\omega}_m(t)] \mathbf{g}(t) + S[\mathbf{\omega}_b(t)] \mathbf{g}(t) \\
\mathbf{b}_\omega(t) &= 0 \\
y_1(t) &= \mathbf{d}_s(t) \\
y_2(t) &= \mathbf{g}(t)
\end{aligned}
\] (13)
Using the cross-product property $\mathbf{\omega} \times \mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{\omega}$ and the considered outputs, (13) can be regarded as a linear time-varying (LTV) system, even though it is still nonlinear (see Lemma 1 in Batista et al. [2011a]). Thus, it comes
\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &= \mathbf{A}(t)x(t) + \mathbf{B}(t)u(t) \\
y(t) &= \mathbf{C}x(t)
\end{aligned}
\] (14)
where $x(t) = [\mathbf{d}_s^T(t) \mathbf{g}^T(t) \mathbf{b}_\omega^T(t)]^T$ is the system state,
\[
\mathbf{A}(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
-S[\mathbf{\omega}_m(t)] & 0_{3 \times 3} & -S[y_1(t)] \\
0_{3 \times 3} & -S[\mathbf{\omega}_m(t)] & -S[y_2(t)] \\
0_{3 \times 3} & 0_{3 \times 3} & S[y_2(t)]
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
\mathbf{B}(t) = [S^T[y_1(t)] 0_{3 \times 3} 0_{3 \times 3}]^T,
\]
and
\[
\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{I}_3 & 0_{3 \times 3} & 0_{3 \times 3}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The observability analysis of the system (14) is addressed in the theorem that follows.

**Theorem 1.** If the AUV is never exactly below the ASC, such that the gravity vector and the USBL direction vector are not parallel, then the LTV system (14) is uniformly completely observable (UCO) — Sastry and Desoer [2002] — that is, there exist positive constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ and $\delta$ such that $\alpha_1 l \leq \mathbf{W}(t, l + \delta) \leq \alpha_2 l$, for all $l \geq t_0$, where $t_0$ is some initial time instant, and $\mathbf{W}(t, l + \delta)$ is the observability Gramian associated with the pair $(\mathbf{A}(t), \mathbf{C})$ on $[t, t + \delta]$.

**Proof.** Omitted due to space limitations, but similar to [Batista et al., 2009, Theorem 1].

**Remark 2.** Notice that, by definition, the ASC (surface craft) is never below the AUV (underwater vehicle), thus in practice, what Theorem 1 states is that if the AUV does not navigate directly under the ASC, then the LTV system is uniformly completely observable.

**Remark 3.** Theorem 1 provides only sufficient conditions for the system to be UCO. The system might still be UCO even if the vectors are parallel, requiring however some persistent excitation conditions on angular motion of the vehicle (Batista et al. [2009]).

Albeit other filtering solutions such as $H_{\infty}$ could be devised, the design of a Kalman Filter with globally asymptotically stable error dynamics follows simply by including state and observation disturbances $\mathbf{n}_s(t)$ and $\mathbf{u}_s(t)$
\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &= \mathbf{A}(t)x(t) + \mathbf{B}(t)u(t) + \mathbf{n}_s(t) \\
y(t) &= \mathbf{C}x(t) + \mathbf{n}_v(t)
\end{aligned}
\] (15)
which are considered to be uncorrelated additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with covariance matrices given by
\[
E[\mathbf{n}_s(t)\mathbf{n}_s(\tau)^T] = \mathbf{Q}(t)\delta(t - \tau),
\]
\[
E[\mathbf{n}_v(t)\mathbf{n}_v(\tau)^T] = \mathbf{R}(t)\delta(t - \tau),
\]
respectively. Additional states could be added to model the observation and process disturbances as colored noise, by modelling $\mathbf{n}_x(t)$ and $\mathbf{n}_y(t)$ as outputs of stable linear time-invariant filters. Nonetheless, the resulting Kalman filter equations are standard (Gelb [1974]) and therefore omitted.

3.2 Attitude estimation

The filtering architecture presented so far allows for the AUV to filter the noisy gravity and USBL direction vector measurements and obtain accurate body-fixed estimates of two vectors while estimating the rate gyros biases with an optimal filter with GAS properties. In this section, an attitude solution is derived from the two proposed filtered vector measurements, exploiting the tandem vehicle formation and the ability to exchange information through acoustic modems.

Taking into account that $|r_0(t)| = |r_w(t)| = \rho(t)$ comes from (1) that the direction of the AUV measured on the ASC vehicle is given by
\[
\mathbf{d}_w(t) = \frac{\mathbf{r}_w(t)}{\rho(t)} = \mathbf{R}_w^T(t) \frac{\mathbf{p}_w(t) - \mathbf{p}_s(t)}{\rho(t)}.
\] (16)

Now, let
\[
\mathbf{d}_s(t) = \frac{\mathbf{r}_s(t) - \mathbf{p}_s(t)}{\rho(t)}.
\] (17)
Using (17) it is immediate to see that (16) can be written as
\[ \mathbf{d}_u(t) = \mathbf{R}_a(t) \mathbf{d}_u(t), \]
and the ASC direction measured in the AUV coordinate frame comes as
\[ \mathbf{d}_i(t) = \mathbf{R}_a(t) \mathbf{d}_u(t) = \mathbf{R}_a(t)(- \mathbf{d}_u(t)), \]
where \( - \mathbf{d}_u(t) \) is the natural inertial reference for the AUV body-fixed USBL measurement. For vehicles working in tandem, as in the case of the framework proposed herein, this quantity is invariant to rotations and can be considered slowly time-varying for attitude estimation purposes.

Since the ASC vehicle is navigating on the sea surface, and benefits from the availability of GPS signals, it is considered to have a complete navigation package, which provides accurate inertial velocity and attitude and heading estimates. Thus it is able to invert its own USBL measurements as \( \hat{R}_a(t) \mathbf{d}_u(t) \) and send it to the AUV via the acoustic modem link in order to aid the underwater vehicle attitude estimation algorithm. In the proposed architecture, depicted in Fig. 3, the surface vehicle sends to the underwater vehicle, via acoustic modem, the estimates of its own inertial velocity \( \mathbf{v}_i(t) \), the direction vector \( \mathbf{d}_u(t) \), and the range \( \rho(t) \), which is computed from either the USBL tracking scheme or from the acoustic modem itself.

The optimal attitude estimation solution, in a least-squares sense, is obtained from the minimization of the cost functional
\[ J(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{y}_i||^2, \]  \tag{18}
which is commonly known in the literature as the Wahba’s problem (Wahba [1965]). For the case of more than one non-collinear vector observation, that is \( N \geq 2 \) in (18), there exists a closed-loop solution (Markley [2008]), based on the singular value decomposition (SVD).

Let
\[ \mathbf{B} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i^T, \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}, \]
where \( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i \) represents the normalized filtered estimates \( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i = \mathbf{y}_i ||\mathbf{y}_i||, \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i \), the corresponding inertial representations. Consider the SVD decomposition \( \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^T \), where \( \mathbf{U} \) and \( \mathbf{V} \) are unitary matrices, and \( \Sigma \) is a diagonal matrix that contains the singular values of \( \mathbf{B} \). Thus, the optimal solution for \( \mathbf{R} \) that minimizes (18), presented in Markley [2008] and provided here for completeness, is computed as
\[ \mathbf{R}^T = \mathbf{U} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{det}(\mathbf{U}) \text{det}(\mathbf{V}) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^T. \]  \tag{19}

Based on the information received through the acoustic modem, the AUV computes the relative velocity \( \mathbf{v}_s(t) \) from (4) as
\[ \mathbf{v}_s(t) = \mathbf{R}_a(t) \mathbf{v}_u(t) - \mathbf{v}_u(t), \]  \tag{20}
where the underwater vehicle velocity \( \mathbf{v}_u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is measured by the on-board DVL, and \( \mathbf{R}_a(t) \in SO(3) \) is an a priori estimate for the rotation matrix \( \mathbf{R}_a(t), \) computed from the available noisy measurements. The received range \( \rho(t) \) is used with (20) to compute the system input \( \mathbf{u}(t) \) in (10), and drive the sensor-based vector measurement filter dynamics (15). Finally, the filtered attitude estimate is computed a posteriori, using the optimal solution from (19) with the filtered vectors \( \mathbf{g}(t) \) and \( \mathbf{d}_i(t) \) from (13) and their respective inertial reference vectors: gravity \( -\mathbf{g}(t) \), which is assumed known, and \( -\mathbf{d}_u(t) \) provided through the acoustic modem as explained above.

**Remark 4.** The attitude solution computed from (19) is not well defined for a set of parallel vectors. It might happen by accident that the estimated vectors \( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_1(t^*) \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2(t^*) \) are parallel, that is \( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_1(t^*) \times \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2(t^*) = 0 \), or null for some time \( t^* \), as in the filtering structure presented herein, there are no restrictions imposed on the cross-product \( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_1(t) \times \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2(t) \). However, if such happens in practice during the initial convergence of the filter for instance, an attitude solution can be computed directly from the vector sensor measurements. Nonetheless, sustained on the GAS properties of the error dynamics the filter is guaranteed to converge, and as it will be shown in Section 4, the proposed architecture exhibits very fast convergence.

**4. SIMULATION RESULTS**

The proposed solution is evaluated in this section resorting to numerical simulations of two autonomous vehicles, operating in a typical survey and intervention scenario. The vehicles describe a trajectory as depicted in Fig. 4, in which both the ASC and the AUV start heading north along the x-axis at the same speed, and then the AUV identifies an intervention target and descends on a helicoidal trajectory while the ASC waits for it to complete its descent. When the AUV reaches the bottom, both vehicles travel northbound before performing a coordinated 180-degree turn and return to the origin of the mission. The motion of both vehicles is performed on an higher-layer of the mission controllers, coordinated via the on-board acoustic modems. The ASC, as it navigates on the surface, is affected by the sea state and thus its nominal position and velocity are disturbed by the motion of the waves.

Both vehicles are equipped with acoustic modems and USBL positioning devices, whereas the ASC USBL unit is mounted in a standard surface-mount scheme, whilst the AUV USBL unit is mounted in what is known as an inverted-USBL configuration (Vickery [1998]). Table 1 summarizes the sensor

![Fig. 3. Proposed tandem architecture](image-url)
All the sensors installed on-board the AUV are considered to be disturbed by additive white Gaussian noise, as described on packages of each vehicle. The acoustic modems are also able to provide range measurements between the two vehicles via handshaking protocols, and, due to environmental restrictions such as a low underwater sound propagation velocity and harsh multipath conditions, have considerable bandwidth limitations and message delivery delays. In particular, with a nominal underwater sound speed of 1515 m/s, and due to the distances considered between the two vehicles (in the trajectory described in Fig. 4) the messages sent from the ASC to the AUV are only delivered after the propagation delay profiled in Fig. 5. The limited bandwidth of the acoustic modems is also taken into consideration in the simulations presented herein: the ASC needs to send messages to the AUV with a payload composed of the inertial reference direction vector $\mathbf{d}_s(t)$ and the ASC inertial velocity $\dot{\mathbf{v}}_s$. Even though message compression techniques can be employed, the worst case scenario is to send without compression three single-precision floating point numbers (32 bit floats) for each vector quantity, which implies a payload of 192 bits per message. Commercially available acoustic modems such as the Link-Quest® UWM2000 have payload data rates of 6600 bits per second (bps). Thus, in addition to delivery delays and to keep the simulations realistic, the acoustic modems are considered to successfully transmit only ten (10) messages per second, which reserves a bandwidth of 1920 bps for the required communications. The quantities being transmitted over the acoustic link are, nonetheless, invariant to rotations of the AUV, and can be considered slowly time-varying for attitude estimation purposes.

All the sensors installed on-board the AUV are considered to be disturbed by additive white Gaussian noise, as described on

---

**Table 1. Vehicles sensor packages**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor package</th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASC (surface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUV (underwater)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full navigation state (INS)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Yes: standard surface mount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No: unavailable underwater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBL</td>
<td>Yes: with bottom-lock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVL</td>
<td>Yes: inverted configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No: unavailable due to strong environmental magnetic distortions during intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetometer</td>
<td>(included in INS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate gyros</td>
<td>(included in INS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes: corrupted with biases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometers</td>
<td>(included in INS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes: used as gravimeter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Modem</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2. AUV and ASC sensors noise characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On-board Sensor</th>
<th>AWGN standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUV Rate gyros</td>
<td>0.5 [deg s⁻¹]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUV DVL velocity</td>
<td>1 [mm s⁻¹]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUV USBL azimuth</td>
<td>0.5 [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUV USBL elevation</td>
<td>0.5 [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUV Accelerometer</td>
<td>1 [mg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUV Range</td>
<td>1 [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC AHRS yaw</td>
<td>0.1 [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC AHRS pitch</td>
<td>0.01 [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC AHRS roll</td>
<td>0.01 [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC Velocity</td>
<td>1 [mm s⁻¹]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC USBL filtered azimuth</td>
<td>0.05 [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC USBL filtered elevation</td>
<td>0.05 [deg]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4. Vehicles trajectory**

**Fig. 5. Modem communications time delay profile**

This paper presented a novel approach to the design of an attitude filter for an I-AUV, navigating in tandem with a surface craft. In the proposed framework, the ASC aids the I-AUV determine its attitude, by providing an inertial reference direction vector through acoustic modem communications, which is measured in body fixed coordinates by an Ultra-Short Baseline (USBL) device. Magnetometers are avoided in the presented solution, due to space anomalies and magnetic distortions.

5. CONCLUSIONS
which is a fundamental feature for open-loop integration of Bar-Itzhack, I. and Oshman, Y. (1985). Attitude determination implementation of the proposed architecture within the scope of results. Future work on this subject will focus on the real-time modems, and its performance was shown to yield satisfactory communications delays and limited bandwidth of the acoustic simulations with realistic sensor noise, considering also adequate of the proposed architecture was assessed resorting to numerical standard Kalman filter with GAS error dynamics. The feasibility tandem vehicle configuration. This allows for the design of a completely observable, under some mild restrictions on the conducted using this modified system showing it to be uniformly still being nonetheless nonlinear. An observability analysis is allows for the nonlinear system to be regarded as an LTV , the vector kinematics. A modification of the system dynamics presented herein includes the estimation of rate gyros biases, have strong magnetic signatures. The filtering architecture introduced by the intervention targets that may include Man-made objects, large metal structures, and ship wrecks that of the proposed architecture was assessed resorting to numerical simulations with realistic sensor noise, considering also adequate communications delays and limited bandwidth of the acoustic modems, and its performance was shown to yield satisfactory results. Future work on this subject will focus on the real-time implementation of the proposed architecture within the scope of the EU project TRIDENT.
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Fig. 6. Attitude estimation error in ZYX-Euler angles

Fig. 7. Rate gyro biases estimation errors